	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/126,816		VON EICHEL-STREIBER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Gary B. Nickol Ph	n.D.	1642	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	(3)			
(2) Thomas A. Cawley, JR., Ph.D.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>14 November 2003</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∐ applicant's r	epresentative	•]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed: Green et al (Gene, Vol. 161, 1995).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not read	hed. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner informed to be the same sequence as disclosed in the Green et al. recommendation.</u>	<u>Applicant's repres</u>	ras agreed to sentative that	if an agreement SEQ ID NO:6 di	was d not appear
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amend			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse section.	last Office action THE MAILING D F THE SUBSTAI	n has already ATE OF THIS NCE OF THE	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY
·				
N				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required		